Acta Crystallographica Section F Structural Biology and Crystallization Communications

ISSN 1744-3091

# Alessia Ruggiero,<sup>a,b</sup> Maria Rosaria Ruocco,<sup>c</sup> Pasquale Grimaldi,<sup>c,d</sup> Paolo Arcari,<sup>c</sup> Mariorosario Masullo,<sup>c,d</sup> Adriana Zagari<sup>a,b</sup> and Luigi Vitagliano<sup>a,e\*</sup>

alstituto di Biostrutture e Bioimmagini, CNR, Via Mezzocannone 16, I-80134 Napoli, Italy, b Dipartimento delle Scienze Biologiche, Sezione di Biostrutture, Universita` degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, Via Mezzocannone 16, I-80134 Napoli, Italy, <sup>c</sup>Dipartimento di Biochimica e Biotecnologie Mediche, Universita` degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, Via S. Pansini 5, I-80131 Napoli, Italy, d Dipartimento di Scienze Farmacobiologiche, Universita` degli Studi 'Magna Graecia' di Catanzaro, Roccelletta di Borgia, I-88021 Catanzaro, Italy, and <sup>e</sup>Centro Interuniversitario di Ricerca sui Peptidi Bioattivi (CIRPEB), Via Mezzocannone 16, I-80134 Napoli, Italy

Correspondence e-mail: luigiv@chemistry.unina.it

Received 29 July 2005 Accepted 6 September 2005 Online 30 September 2005



 $\odot$  2005 International Union of Crystallography All rights reserved

# Crystallization and preliminary X-ray crystallographic analysis of Sulfolobus solfataricus thioredoxin reductase

A thermostable thioredoxin reductase isolated from Sulfolobus solfataricus (SsTrxR) has been successfully crystallized in the absence and in the presence of NADP. Two different crystal forms have been obtained. Crystals of the form that yields higher resolution data (1.8 Å) belong to space group  $P2_12_12_1$ , with unitcell parameters  $a = 76.77$ ,  $b = 120.68$ ,  $c = 126.85$  Å. The structure of the enzyme has been solved by MAD methods using the anomalous signal from the Se atoms of selenomethionine-labelled SsTrxR.

# 1. Introduction

All living organisms have developed efficient systems for scavenging reactive oxygen species produced by metabolism. The thioredoxin system, composed of thioredoxin (Trx), thioredoxin reductase (TrxR) and NADPH, plays a pivotal role in maintaining the redox state of the cell and in protecting the cell against oxidative stress (Arner & Holmgren, 2000; Hirt et al., 2002). Trx is the major ubiquitous disulfide reductase responsible for keeping proteins in their reduced state. Trx is a substrate of TrxR, which provides the electrons necessary to regenerate the reduced state of Trx. These electrons originate from NADPH and are transferred via FAD to the disulfide of the active site of TrxR, which subsequently reduces its protein substrate.

TrxRs are homodimeric proteins in which each monomer contains an FAD prosthetic group. Although TrxRs have been isolated from organisms belonging to all three domains of life, archaea, eubacteria and eukarya, differences between TrxRs isolated from different species have emerged (Arner & Holmgren, 2000; Hirt et al., 2002). TrxRs are currently classified into two distinct classes depending on their molecular mass. TrxRs isolated from higher eukaryotes (class i) exhibit a molecular mass of approximately 55 000 Da per subunit. TrxRs extracted from archaea, eubacteria and lower eukaryotes, which include several plants and fungi, are smaller, with a molecular weight of about 35 000 Da per subunit (class II).

Of the class II TrxRs, enzymes isolated from eubacteria and lower eukaryotes have been extensively characterized. Functional and structural investigations have been carried out in depth on Escherichia coli TrxR. Indeed, analysis of the structure–function relationships in this enzyme has been made possible by the determination of the structure of the wild-type protein in both the reduced (Lennon et al., 1999) and the oxidized state (Kuriyan et al., 1991; Waksman et al., 1994) and of the complex between TrxR and Trx (Lennon et al., 2000). The latter structure has demonstrated that this protein is endowed with an unusual conformational plasticity that favours a large twist of the enzyme structure during catalysis. Preliminary structural investigations have also been reported for eubacterial Mycobacterium tubercolosis TrxR (Akif et al., 2004). The structure of a class II TrxR isolated form the eukaryotic organism Arabidopsis thaliana has also been reported (Dai et al., 1996).

On the other hand, limited information is available on class II TrxRs isolated from archaea. The functional characterization of TrxR from Aeropyrum pernix (Jeon & Ishikawa, 2002) and Pyrococcus horikoshii (Kashima & Ishikawa, 2003) have only recently been reported. Even more recently, the thioredoxin reductase activity of an

enzyme, previously classified as an NAD(P)H oxidase (Masullo et al., 1996), isolated from the hyperthermophilic archaeon Sulfolobus solfataricus has been described (Ruocco et al., 2004). Although this thermostable TrxR (SsTrxR), the molecular mass of which is 35 000 Da per subunit, shows significant sequence identity (30–35%) to eubacterial TrxRs, some of its functional properties resemble those of class I eukaryal enzymes (Ruocco et al., 2004). These findings have been correlated (Ruocco et al., 2004) with a previously reported hypothesis that the Sulfolobus gene is the putative ancestor of animal mitochondria (Karlin & Campbell, 1994). In order to elucidate the structure–function relationship of this enzyme, a crystallographic investigation has been undertaken. Here, we report the crystallization of wild-type SsTrxR and of its complex with NADP.

#### 2. Experimental methods

# 2.1. Purification and crystallization

Recombinant SsTrxR was expressed in E. coli as previously reported (Ruocco et al., 2004). E. coli cells were mechanically disrupted and the cell debris was removed by centrifugation. After heating the supernatant at 343 K, the protein was purified using cation-exchange cromatography (FPLC). The purity and homogeneity of the protein were tested by SDS–PAGE and its redox state was checked by UV–visible spectroscopy. The protein was concentrated to 20 mg  $ml^{-1}$  using a Centricon-30 concentrator and stored in a buffer containing 25 mM Mes–KOH pH 5.5.

The selenomethionine (SeMet) derivative of SsTrxR was prepared by growing the E. coli strain expressing the recombinant enzyme in minimal media containing 1 mg  $l^{-1}$  vitamins (riboflavin, niacinamide,





Figure 1

Images of typical SsTrxR crystals: (a) crystal form I, (b) crystal form II.

pyridoxine monohydrochloride and thiamine), 0.4% glucose, 2 mM  $MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 0.1 \text{ m}M$  CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 50 mg l<sup>-1</sup> of the amino acids Phe, Thr, Lys, Ile, Leu and Val and 60 mg  $l^{-1}$  of seleno-*L*-methionine.

Crystallization experiments were performed at 293 K using either microbatch-under-oil or hanging-drop vapour-diffusion methods. Preliminary crystallization trials were carried out using commercially available sparse-matrix screens (Crystal Screen kits I and II, Hampton Research).

In order to determine the structure of SsTrxR complexed with NADP, crystals of the enzyme were also grown adding the cofactor to the crystallization conditions. An NADP:protein molar ratio of 100:1 was employed.

Finally, the conditions used for the crystallization of form I were also successfully used to grow crystals of the SeMet derivative. To prevent oxidation of the SeMet derivative, 5 mM DTT was added to the crystallization medium.

#### 2.2. Data collection and processing

Preliminary diffraction data were collected in-house at 298 K using a MacScience DIP2030b imaging plate equipped with a Nonius FR591 generator producing Cu  $K\alpha$  radiation of wavelength 1.5418 Å. Higher resolution data were collected at the ESRF (Grenoble, France) at 100 K (beamline ID29). Crystals were frozen after the addition of  $22\% (v/v)$  glycerol to the crystallization buffer. Data processing was performed using the program DENZO (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997). The data sets were scaled and merged using the program SCALEPACK (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997).

Multiwavelength anomalous diffraction (MAD) data were collected at the synchrotron beamline ID14-4 at the ESRF. Three different data sets were collected from a single crystal using wavelengths determined from the selenium absorption spectrum.

#### 2.3. Structure determination

The structure of the enzyme was solved by MAD methods using the anomalous signal from the Se atoms of selenomethionine-labelled SsTrxR. The program SOLVE (Terwilliger & Berendzen, 1999) was used to localize the selenium sites present in the asymmetric unit and to derive the experimental phases. Phases were improved by density modification using the program DM (Cowtan & Main, 1998). Automatic model building was performed using ARP/wARP in warpNtrace mode (Perrakis et al., 1999).

### 3. Results and discussion

An initial screening carried out using commercially available crystallization kits revealed different conditions for crystal formation of SsTrxR. The quality of the crystals was improved by fine-tuning the concentration of the protein and of the precipitants. Large yellow SsTrxR crystals (form I; Fig. 1a) were grown using  $12-15\%$  (w/v) polyethylene glycol 2000 monomethyl ether (PEG MME 2K), 0.1 M  $(NH_4)_2SO_4$  and 50 mM sodium acetate pH 4.6. A different crystal form (form II; Fig. 1b) of SsTrxR was obtained in 8-12% $(w/v)$  PEG 4000, 3-4% ( $v/v$ ) propan-2-ol and 50 mM Na HEPES pH 7.5 (Fig. 1b). In both cases a protein concentration of  $4-9$  mg ml<sup>-1</sup> was used.

Matthews coefficient calculations (Matthews, 1968) suggested the presence of two SsTrxR dimers per asymmetric unit in crystal form I  $(V_M = 2.1 \text{ Å}^3 \text{Da}^{-1}$ , with 41% solvent content). A single SsTrxR dimer is present in the asymmetric unit of crystal form II  $(V_M = 2.7 \text{ Å}^3 \text{ Da}^{-1}$ , with 55% solvent content).

The two crystal forms of SsTrxR exhibit large differences in mosaicity and diffraction limit. Indeed, crystal forms I and II have

#### Table 1

Data-collection statistics.

Values in parentheses refer to the highest resolution shell.



 $\dagger$   $R_{\text{merge}} = \sum_h \sum_i |I(h, i) - \langle I(h) \rangle| / \sum_h \sum_l I(h, i)$ , where  $I(h, i)$  is the intensity of the *i*th measurement of reflection h and  $\langle I(h) \rangle$  is the mean value of the intensity of reflection h. For the SeMet derivative,  $R_{\text{merge}}$  was calculated considering the Bijovet pairs individually.

mosaicities of 0.31 and 0.68°, respectively. Using the ESRF synchrotron radiation, crystal forms I and II diffract to  $1.8 \text{ Å}$  (Fig. 2) and  $2.5$  Å, respectively (see Table 1 for details).

The better quality of crystal form I prompted us to use these conditions to crystallize SsTrxR in the presence of the NADP cofactor. Despite the use of the same crystallization conditions, the unit-cell parameters of these crystals were slightly different from those determined for the wild-type enzyme (Table 1). This is an indirect indication that NADP is likely to be bound to the enzyme.

Several attempts were made to solve the structure by molecular replacement using the diffraction data derived from crystal form I. In particular, trials were conducted using the structures of E. coli (PDB code 1tdf; Waksman et al., 1994) and A. thaliana (PDB code 1vdc; Dai et al., 1996) TrxR as starting models. However, all these attempts were unsuccessful. The rather low sequence identity between the search models and SsTrxR (33–35%) and the presence of four monomers of



#### Figure 2

Diffraction pattern of a typical SsTrxR crystal (form I). Diffraction data are detectable to  $1.8 \text{ Å}$  resolution.

the enzyme in the asymmetric unit of the crystal are likely to be the main factors that led to the failure.

Since molecular replacement proved to be unsuccessful, a threewavelength MAD experiment was performed to obtain experimental phases. The feasibility of this approach was assured by the presence of three methionines in the 324 residues that constitute each SsTrxR monomer. In order to determine the peak and the inflection wavelengths, a fluorescence scan was recorded on a single SeMet-labelled SsTrxR crystal. Using data sets collected at wavelengths optimized for selenomethionine, the program SOLVE identified all 12 selenium sites expected in the asymmetric unit of the enzyme. The arrangement of these sites confirmed the presence of two SsTrxR dimers in the asymmetric unit. The program SOLVE (Terwilliger & Berendzen, 1999) also provided a set of initial phases. Subsequent density modification using the program DM (Cowtan & Main, 1998) produced experimental electron density of excellent quality. Indeed, the program ARP/wARP (Perrakis et al., 1999) was able to automatically trace most of the enzyme structure. The refinement of the crystallographic model is in progress.

This work was financially supported by PRIN, MIUR 2003. We acknowledge the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility for providing synchrotron-radiation facilities and we thank the staff of the Macromolecular Crystallography Group and in particular Dr Didier Nurizzo for their assistance during data collection on beamlines ID29 and ID14-4. Giosue` Sorrentino, Maurizio Amendola and Luca De Luca are acknowledged for their assistance.

#### References

- Akif, M., Chauhan, R. & Mande, S. C. (2004). Acta Cryst. D60, 777–779. Arner, E. S. & Holmgren, A. (2000). Eur. J. Biochem. 267, 6102–6109.
- 
- Cowtan, K. & Main, P. (1998). Acta Cryst. D54, 487–493.
- Dai, S., Saarinen, M., Ramaswamy, S., Meyer, Y., Jacquot, J. P. & Eklund, H. (1996). J. Mol. Biol. 264, 1044–1057.
- Hirt, R. P., Muller, S., Embley, T. M. & Coombs, G. H. (2002). Trends Parasitol. 18, 302–308.
- Jeon, S. J. & Ishikawa, K. (2002). Eur. J. Biochem. 269, 5423–5430.
- Karlin, S. & Campbell, A. M. (1994). Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 91, 12842– 12846.
- Kashima, Y. & Ishikawa, K. (2003). Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 418, 179–185.
- Kuriyan, J., Krishna, T. S., Wong, L., Guenther, B., Pahler, A., Williams, C. H. Jr & Model, P. (1991). Nature (London), 352, 172–174.
- Lennon, B. W., Williams, C. H. Jr & Ludwig, M. L. (1999). Protein Sci. 8, 2366– 2379.
- Lennon, B. W., Williams, C. H. Jr & Ludwig, M. L. (2000). Science, 289, 1190– 1194.
- Masullo, M., Raimo, G., Dello Russo, A., Bocchini, V. & Bannister, J. V. (1996). Biotechnol. Appl. Biochem. 23, 47–54.
- Matthews, B. W. (1968). J. Mol. Biol. 33, 491–497.
- Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). Methods Enzymol. 276, 307–326.
- Perrakis, A., Morris, R. & Lamzin, V. S. (1999). Nature Struct. Biol. 6, 458–463. Ruocco, M. R., Ruggiero, A., Masullo, L., Arcari, P. & Masullo, M. (2004). Biochimie, 86, 883–892.
- Terwilliger, T. C. & Berendzen, J. (1999). Acta Cryst. D55, 849–861.
- Waksman, G., Krishna, T. S., Williams, C. H. Jr & Kuriyan, J. (1994). J. Mol. Biol. 236, 800–816.